

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/840,084 | 04/24/2001 | Kyu-Hwang Chung | P56366 | 2169 |
| 75 | 90 01/15/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER |
| Robert E. Bushnell | | | DUONG, THOI V | |
| Suite 300 1522 K Street, N.W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Washington, DC 20005 | | | 2871 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| t | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/840,084 | CHUNG, KYU-HWANG | | | | |
| * | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thoi V Duong | 2871 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | • | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the | | | | | | |
| issues for appeal; and/or | | , | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | inally rejected claims. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | · · · ——— | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>17-20</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 3 and 11. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-10 and 12-16</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a) | roved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

With respect to claims 1, 2, 4-10 and 12-16, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant's Prior Art Fig. 1 discloses a ground portion formed around the liquid crystal display and, in view of Hansell, Figs. 1-3 clearly discloses a reinforcement connector 8 (or the spring finger) connected to a ground pin connector 17 of the header 20 of the PCB 21 and a connection cable 10 of an external system. Accordingly, the reinforcement connector supports the ground of said printed circuit board.

Finally, claims 3 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and intervening claims.

_